

COUNTY OF SISKIYOU

Flood Control & Water Conservation District

Review Form

Shasta Groundwater Sustainability Plan

Dear Reviewer,

Per SGMA requirements, a Groundwater Sustainability Plan (GSP) has been developed for the Shasta Valley groundwater basin. The GSA has released a complete draft GSP and has initiated a 45-day public review and comment period and seeks input from all beneficial users of groundwater.

REVIEWER INSTRUCTIONS:

Given the large number of reviewers, accommodating track changes or other editing options within the original draft sections distributed to all committee members is not possible. Please consider using this reviewer form with the following instructions:

- Use the form below to provide comments. Feel free to add additional lines to the form as needed.
- For suggested text changes, please copy and paste the text you wish to change and place your suggested edits in track changes or strikethrough features in this document. What's important is that technical staff can see *both* the original draft text and your distinct suggestions.
- Note the **Chapter, Page, Section, and line number**—from the PDF version of the draft GSP section—where your comment, question or suggested text edit begins.
- Examples of how to provide feedback are listed in the review form below. These examples are not actual comments and are made up to show how the table should be used. Feel free to delete these examples with your submission, and only include your feedback.
- To comment on a figure or table, in the line number column on the reviewer form note the figure number *and* the page number and type your comment in the text section to the right.

Please email comments directly to (sgma@co.siskiyou.ca.us). Include in the subject line the basin you are commenting on. If you are making comments on multiple basins, send as separate comments.

Please send your comments no later than end of day September 26, 2021. Comments will not be accepted on or after September 27th, 2021.

Please use the following file nomenclature in saving your review document:

ShastaGSP_PublicReviewDRAFT_[Your name]_date

Thanks for contributing to the draft Groundwater Sustainability Plan for the Shasta Valley Groundwater Basin

COUNTY OF SISKIYOU

Flood Control & Water Conservation District

Reviewer name:

Submission date:

GSP sections reviewed:

Chapter	Page	Section	Line/Table/Figure #	Comment <i>(please delete example text below once you submit)</i>	
ES	3	ES-1	98	Available for the Basin dates back to eat at least (typo)	CT-001
101	3	ES-1	101	What is Error! Reference source not found?	CT-002
2	4	2.1.1	91	cover a the northern (typo)	CT-003
2	12	2.1.2	162	This section never mentions the Public Trust Doctrine despite the GSP acknowledging that groundwater and surface water in the basin are interconnected (line 110)	CT-004
2	28	2.1.4.2	695-697	“[t]here is not substantial enough data to include groundwater use estimates from illegal cannabis production in the overall and future water budgets.” → How can the GSA ensure accurate water budgets if it excludes this potentially significant, albeit illegal, use of groundwater?	CT-005
2	39	2.2.1.2	Figure 12	Is this the updated figure?	CT-006
2	63	2.2.1.4	1136	“soil groups are described in Table (XXX)” → what table does this refer to?	CT-007
2	105	2.2.2.6	2052-2054	“the Shasta River surface water network contains many miles of stream channel that are connected to groundwater. The Shasta River and	CT-008



COUNTY OF SISKIYOU

Flood Control & Water Conservation District

				its major tributaries are all considered part of the interconnected surface water system in the Basin.” → Given this statement, the GSP needs to include Public Trust considerations, as the public trust doctrine applies to the management of groundwater that impacts a public trust resource (here, the Shasta River).	CT-008 (contd.)
3	6	3.3	134	Per 23 C.C.R. § 354.34(b)(1-4)	CT-009
3	6	3.3	152	Section 351(1)	CT-010
3	7	3.3	179-180	“Owners and/or operators of groundwater wells, meeting a certain criteria, are <i>encouraged</i> to report pumping volumes” (emphasis added) → what is landowners do not want to share information?	CT-011
3	30	3.3.4.2	511 1138-1139	Why will this take 10 years? “Arsenic, boron, iron, manganese, and pH do not have an SMC because they are naturally occurring.” → what if groundwater pumping increases the concentration of these constituents?	CT-012
4	6-10	4.1	Table 4.1	General thoughts about PMAs: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Most of the tier 1 actions rely on another entity acting - If the restriction of groundwater pumping is in Tier 3, it will likely not be implemented soon enough to improve conditions. This 	CT-014

COUNTY OF SISKIYOU

Flood Control & Water Conservation District

5	10	5.1.2	299-337	triggers public trust doctrine concerns. Concerning that the only concrete action the GSA commits to is “coordination.” What is the GSA’s strategy for implementing this GSP?

↑
CT-014
(contd.)
CT-015

COUNTY OF SISKIYOU

Flood Control & Water Conservation District

